

CODE OF ETHICS

Workrooms Journal maintains an ethical commitment with the university community and society in general. This behavior is inspired by article 2 of the General Conference of UNESCO, held in November 1997, where it was agreed what should be the rights and duties of university professors and students.

Therefore *Workrooms Journal* is committed to guide their activity to contribute to the basics of the article, which we reproduce below for:

1. Preserve and develop its fundamental functions, submitting all its activities to the demands of ethics and scientific and intellectual rigor.
2. To be able to give opinions on ethical, cultural and social problems, with total autonomy and full responsibility, because they are provided with a kind of intellectual authority that society needs to help them reflect, understand and act.
3. Strengthen its critical and progressive functions through constant analysis of new social, economic, cultural and political trends, thus performing functions of a forecasting, warning and prevention center.
4. To use their intellectual capacity and moral prestige to actively defend and disseminate universally accepted values, and in particular peace, equality and solidarity, as they have been enshrined in the UNESCO Constitution.
5. To fully enjoy their academic freedom and autonomy, conceived as a set of rights and obligations, being at the same time fully responsible to society and giving them accounts.
6. Contribute to the definition and treatment of problems that affect the well-being of communities, nations and world society.

Workrooms Journal endorses these objectives, and adds others of a more specific nature to each of the sectors that build the magazine more actively: ***Editorial Board***, ***Evaluators*** and ***Authors*** to safeguard the following general ethical principles of the publication:

1. Maintain the integrity of the academic data, preventing and acting actively against plagiarism and the dissemination of fraudulent data
2. Observe the retraction systems and publish the corrections and clarifications that are necessary.
3. *Workrooms Journal* must ensure that the authorship of the articles is true and fair with the academic contributions of other authors and transparent regarding their sources of funding.

Thus:

- a. The articles must contain a list of bibliographical references in which the influence of all the works on which the authors have supported themselves is recognized.
- b. You cannot publish the same article that has been published in another journal
- c. The articles will recognize the authorship of all its participants in fair terms

Editorial Board

The ***Editorial Board*** of ***Workrooms Journal*** is committed to:

1. Select the works whose theme offers a contribution to Power Electronics, Renewable Energy and Energy Efficiency from the field of research and engineering education.
2. Disseminate articles and reviews published in academic circles specialized in the field of engineering and teaching, and also in professional spaces in the field of technology, among various associations, groups and companies interested in issues related to our field of knowledge.
3. Guarantee the anonymous review of the articles received, to ensure the integrity of the evaluation process.
4. Select a sufficient number of stable and specialized evaluators in the fields of knowledge of the journal. In addition, ***Workrooms Journal*** will use specific reviewers when the subject of the received article requires it.
5. The editors must ensure that the deadlines for evaluation of originals and communication to the authors are met, which will be 30 days.
6. In this way, ***Workrooms Journal*** wishes to maintain a reasonable level of topicality in the contents it publishes, in order to contribute to the quality of the academic and professional debate in the fields of our specialty.
7. Declaration in relation to the prevention and correction of bad practices: ***The Editorial Board*** is aware that it can face the detection and correction of bad practices that could take place in the field of academic publications, such as plagiarism, duplicate publications, or unsuitable representations in relation to the authorship of works. The publisher is committed to actively preventing this type of malpractice from happening.
8. The editors will have the full responsibility and authority to accept or reject articles, which they will do based on the opinion of the expert evaluators in the blind peer evaluation process. In this way, publishers will avoid conflict of interest.

9. The editors will preserve the anonymity of the particular evaluators of each article during the entire blind review process.